

Remarks

I. Response to Rejection of Claims 1-4 Under U.S.C. 112

Applicant understands indefinite nature of claims as written and wishes to amend them by removing the term "conventional" from claim 1. Applicant also wishes to remove the word "means" from two places in claim 5.

II. Response to Rejection of Claims 1-4 Under U.S.C. 102

A. Response To Claim Rejections Regarding Lemmen(US-5,536,070):

Applicant understands the anticipation issues regarding the patent of Lemmen and wishes resolve this issue by amending claim 1 with the addition of "having three pivot axes" at the end of the claim.


Conclusion

With the amendment detailed above, applicant respectfully submits that the claims have been amended to reverse the claim rejections under U.S.C. 112. The claims define over the prior art under section 102 and are of patentable merit due to new results under section 103.

During the telephonic interview of February 28, 2006, the applicant and the examiner came to an agreement with respect to the objections over Lemmen. It was agreed that with the amended claims above, claims 1-4 should be allowed.

Applicant submits that this application is now in full condition for allowance, which action applicant respectfully solicits.

Respectfully submitted,


Kevin Tisue
Applicant pro se
2232 E. Vimont Ave.
Park City, UT
801-403-6409
435-658-1778 Fax
ktisue@nextdimengineering.com